

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/583,120 | Applicant(s) BAGRODIA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee | Art Unit 1714 | |

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(2) Jackie Haley.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 August 2003

Time: 1100

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
over the prior art of record

Claims discussed:
pending claims


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to inform that the case is not in condition for allowance. Since the present claims recite generic polyamide the properties of the composition of the combined prior art of record are expected to be the same as those of the present invention. In additon the examiner indicated, that incorporating more specific polyamide species from dependent claims would render claims allowable..